

Source Document Log
Source Document Discrepancy Log (Over)

Drain: RHETTA HANKLEY DRAIN

#	Main/Arm Name	Document Name	Document ID	Info From Document
1	RHETTA HANKLEY	VIEWER'S REPORT	10-10-1900	

Drain: RHOETTA HANKLEY **Drain #:** 157
Improvement/Arm: _____
Operator: J. LIVINGSTON **Date:** 1-6-03
Drain Classification: Urban/Rural **Year Installed:** 1900

GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains
- Digitize & Attribute SSD
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch
- Stamp Plans
- Sum drain lengths & Validate
- Enter Improvements into Posse
- Enter Drain Age into Posse
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse
- Check Database entries for errors

JL
JL

JL

JL
JL
JL

**Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost
Drain Length Log**

Drain-Improvement: RHETTA HANKLEY

Drain Type:	Size:	Length ()	Length (DB Query)	Length Reconcile	If Applicable	
					Price:	Cost:
TILE	6"	600'	600'		1. ⁰⁰ /lf	600. ⁰⁰
	8"	1300'	1300'		2. ⁰⁰ /lf	2600. ⁰⁰
	10"	17'	17'		3. ⁰⁰ /lf	51. ⁰⁰
ARMED-TILE	5"	518'	518'		1. ⁰⁰ /lf	518. ⁰⁰

Sum: 2435' \$3,769.⁰⁰

Final Report: 2435'

Comments:

No.

IN THE MATTER OF

Ruetta Hankley

Drain

Approved by Board

Dec 5 - 1900

of Commissioners of Hamilton
Co. and passes order to
final report Dec 15, 1900

H. Carpenter P. B.

Report of Ditch Viewers.

COMMISSIONERS' COURT.

Filed

1

Auditor.

Wm. B. Burford, Printer, Indianapolis. 500-2-25-'99.

ELLB/3-25-26

Viewers' Report of

Ruetta Hankley

Ditch.

To the Honorable Board of Commissioners of *Hamilton* County, Indiana:

We, the undersigned, appointed by this Court, at its *Oct Reg.* Term, 1900, to view a drain described in the certified copy of a petition and order of Court for a *Drain*, by *Ruetta Hankley* and others, handed us by the Auditor, would represent that according to said order we, on the day named therein, to wit: The *29th* day of *Oct*, 1900, in company with *J. Mitchell*, a civil engineer, made an accurate survey of the line of said proposed *Drain* from its source to its outlet. A plat of said *Drain* is filed herewith, including the survey by the engineer, marked "Exhibit *BA*". We caused stakes to be set along said line, each one hundred feet, numbering down stream, as shown by plat. We also made a computation of the total number of cubic yards of earth to be removed from said drain, and estimated the total cost for the construction of the work at *189 47/100* Dollars.

~~We also apportioned and set apart to each parcel of land a share of said work in proportion to the benefits received, all of which will fully appear by a tabular statement in this report.~~ We have also set out, by a statement below, the names of the owners of lands benefited, with a description of each tract of land, and the amount assessed against each tract. The depth of cut, the width at bottom, and width at top along said drain, is also shown in a tabular statement in this report. After a full and careful view and examination, we are of the opinion that the drain, as located by the survey, will be of *Public utility and convenience and will remove*

standing water and will benefit two public Highways. And we are of the opinion that this drainage can best be accomplished by a Main ditch and Arm to said ditch. Said Main ditch to consist of 1 row of 7" tile from stake 0 to stake 6 and 1 row of 8" tile from stake 6 to stake 19 and from stake 19 to stake 19+1/2 one row of 10" sewer tile. Said Arm to consist of 1 row of 5 inch tile throughout.

~~The following statement shows who are benefited, the lands thereof and assessments thereon, location, length of each share, amount of assessments, amount of benefits, amount of dirt to be removed from each share, price thereof per cubic yard, and total cost of each share:~~

NAMES OF OWNERS.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section.	Township.	Range.	Acres Assessed.	Ft'dths Assessed.	Acres Benefited.	Ft'dths Benefited.	Amount of Benefits.	Amount of Assessments.	From Station. To Station.	Feet Long.	Cubic Yards to be Removed.	Price per Cubic Yard.	Cost of Construction of Share.
<i>Fred Hankley</i>	<i>Plat 2d 2 NE</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>10</i>				<i>40 50</i>						<i>37 30</i>
<i>M Wilburn</i>	<i>Plat NE NW SE</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5</i>				<i>13 50</i>						<i>12 43</i>
<i>Ruetta Hankley</i>	<i>Plat NE SE</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>17</i>				<i>90 00</i>						<i>82 90</i>
<i>White River Tp.</i>	<i>By E. 1/4 W. thro Cent E 2.</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>5</i>					<i>46 00</i>						<i>42 37</i>
	<i>By N 1/4 thro SE 1/4</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>5</i>											<i>175 00</i>
									<i>190 00</i>						

TABULAR STATEMENT OF ASSESSMENTS, BENEFITS, ETC.

NAMES OF OWNERS.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section.	Township.	Range.	Acres Assessed.	H'ths Assessed	Acres Benefited.	H'ths Benefited	Amount of Benefits.	Amount of Assessments.	From Station.	To Station.	Feet Long.	Cubic Yards to be Removed	Price per Cubic Yard.	Cost of Construction of Share.
------------------	-----------------------	----------	-----------	--------	-----------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------	---------------------	------------------------	---------------	-------------	------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------------

We the viewers would further report that in our opinion it would be impracticable to allot said ^{ditch} for the construction for the reason that the work can be better and quicker done to be let in one contract. Therefore we would recommend that said ditch be sold by the Auditor of the County as provided by law in such cases,

That the Contractor shall give White River Township credit for the tile now on the ground at the rate of \$4.50 per 100 feet for all tile accepted by the engineer

TABULAR STATEMENT OF STATIONS, WIDTH, DEPTH, LENGTH, ETC.

STATIONS.	WIDTH AT TOP.		DEPTH OF CUT.		WIDTH AT BOTTOM.		WIDTH OF CLEARING OR TIMBER.
	Feet.	Inches.	Feet.	Tenths.	Feet.	Inches.	
<p>By the construction of a ditch commencing 2 rods west and 14 feet north of the northeast corner of the southeast quarter of section 2 T₂₀ N. R. 5 E. thence west 500 feet, thence south 78 1/2° west 100 feet, thence west 1317 feet and terminating in what is known as "West Bear Creek,"</p> <p>Also an Arm commencing 532 feet south and 14 feet west of the north east corner of the N² of the SE^{1/4} of section 2 T₂₀ N. R. 5 E. running thence north 518 feet and terminating on main ditch at Stake 10 of Main Ditch</p>							

GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS.

The length of the ^{Main} ditch as located is 1917 feet
 The fall of the established grade is 8/100 feet, from Station 0 to Station 19+17 to the 100 feet.

The length of the Arm as located is 518 feet
 The fall of the established grade of the Arm is 2/100 feet from 0 to 4 and 1 foot from 4 to 5+18 to the 100 feet.
 The total fall is _____ feet to the _____

All tile used in the construction of said ditch must be first class in every respect and laid in ditch at the established grade in a true line and must be left uncovered until inspected by the engineer in charge. After being inspected and accepted by the engineer the tile must be covered by placing all earth excavated in and over the line of the ditch and in places where this is not sufficient there must be a fill made so as to cover the tile to a depth of 18 inches over the tile.

There shall be a retaining wall of stone at the end of the tile laid up ^{without mortar} in a way as to protect the tile from washing out and to the all work to be done in a workmanlike manner and to the full satisfaction of the engineer in charge.

Valentine Sleek
 E. W. Garrison
 S. W. Bray

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 10 day of Nov. 1900

Cebiv Stunkow Auditor Hamilton County.